

109341

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PHONE CALL <input type="checkbox"/> DISCUSSION <input type="checkbox"/> FIELD TRIP <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY)	
(Record of item checked above)			
TO: Donna McCartney	FROM: Jeff Cox, Hazleton Standard Speaker 717-455-3636	DATE: 4/8/88	TIME: 3:15 pm.
SUBJECT: C+D Recycling - Jane Sulima letter to Senator Heinz re: RI/FS Work Plan			
SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION <p>Jeff Cox phoned to verify that EPA (me) had received a request from Senator Heinz's office to answer each of ms. Sulima's comments on the RI Work Plan. The Senator's office had stated to Mr. Cox that the letter had been forwarded to EPA and they were awaiting a response. I informed him that we had not yet received a request from the Senator - but that we would respond in full once requested.</p> <p>Mr. Cox proceeded to ask a number of questions regarding EPA's perception of the RI Work Plan; the current status of the RI project activities; the situation with missing pages from the work Plan submitted to ms. Sulima; what EPA's responsibilities are to keeping the public informed of RI activities; and status of the condition of drinking water at and around the C+D site. Additional issues discussed were EPA's offer and intention to meet with Sharon Rohrbach's citizen's group periodically throughout the RI/FS; EPA's intentions/need to conduct testing of citizen's lead levels (in blood) - explained intent/scope of RI/FS; and the current status of Mr. Cox's "continuing series of articles on C+D" - and his intentions to verify relevant and technical information which is scheduled to be printed with EPA's RPM (me). Mr. Cox would like to be briefed on EPA's position or response to Sulima's letter - as he is somewhat concerned with printing accurate information which will not jeopardize the RI/FS project.</p>			
CONCLUSIONS, ACTION TAKEN OR REQUIRED <p>Mr. Cox agreed to provide me with copies of the initial 2 articles in the "series", and copies of any forthcoming stories. He wants to give EPA an opportunity to comment/respond to the issues which are relevant to the actual RI/FS project - and is anxious to hear our position - or response - to Sulima's 55 comments.</p>			
INFORMATION COPIES TO:			